

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/661,477	SUGITA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	D. S. NAKARANI	1773	

**All Participants:**

(1) D. S. NAKARANI.

(2) Ms. Ruthleen Uy, reg. No. 51,361.

**Status of Application: allowed**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 January 2004

**Time:** 4:15 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Ms. Ruthleen Uy agreed to change dependency of claim 9 from cancelled claim 8 to claim 1 and of claim 14 from cancelled claim 11 to claim 10.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*D. S. NAKARANI*

ACTING  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)